

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/466,935	12/20/1999	VITALIY ARKADYEVICH LIVSHITS	0010-1070-0	1750
38108 CERMAK & I	7590 04/16/2007 KENEALY LLP		EXAMINER	
ACS LLC			STEADMAN, DAVID J	
515 EAST BRADDOCK ROAD SUITE B ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1656	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/466,935	LIVSHITS ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		David J. Steadman	1656	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMES on Side of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDOI	ON.  timely filed  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Fe</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p		
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 77-84 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 77-84 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage	
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa		
3) 🗵 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/27/07</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date  ! Patent Application	

Art Unit: 1656

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Status of the Application

- [1] Claims 77-84 are pending in the application.
- [2] Applicant's amendment to the claims, filed on 2/27/07, is acknowledged. This listing of the claims replaces all prior versions and listings of the claims.
- [3] Receipt of an information disclosure statement, filed on 2/27/07, is acknowledged.
- [4] Applicant's arguments filed on 2/27/07 have been fully considered.
- [5] The text of those sections of Title 35 U.S. Code not included in the instant action can be found in a prior Office action.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

[6] All references cited in the IDS filed on 2/27/07 have been considered by the examiner. A copy of Form PTO-1449 is attached to the instant Office action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

[7] The rejection of claims 77-84 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Kobayashi et al. (*J Biochem* 98:1007-1016, 1985) as evidenced by Zakataeva et al. (*FEBS Lett* 452:228-232, cited in the IDS filed on 3/31/2000) and Kruse et al. (*Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 59:205-210) is maintained for the reasons of record and the reasons stated below. The rejection was fully explained in a prior Office action.

Art Unit: 1656

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENT: Applicant argues the rejection is obviated by amendment to "recite 3 manipulative steps of the claimed method." According to applicant, the reference of Kobayashi et al. fails to teach recovery of an L-amino acid following the recited steps. Applicant argues it is the medium that is left after removing the solids that is used in step C). Applicant further argues that the step of "purifying" as defined in the specification at p. 23, lines 2-7 is not taught by the method of Kobayashi et al.

Applicant's argument is not found persuasive. According to MPEP 2111.01.I, "[d]uring examination, the claims must be interpreted as broadly as their terms reasonably allow." The examiner acknowledges the amendment to claim 77. However, even in view of the amendment, the reference of Kobayashi et al. would appear to anticipate the claimed method. Kobayashi et al. teaches culturing the bacterial host cell and centrifuging the cells (p. 1009, column 1, bottom), which is undisputed by applicant. By practicing the method of Kobayashi et al., one of ordinary skill in the art would be "removing solids" in accordance with step B) and "purifying said L-amino acid" in accordance with step C) simultaneously. Put another way, the step of centrifuging the cells would simultaneously remove solids from the medium and purify the L-amino acid, which is in the cells, from the medium. Alternatively, one can interpret the steps of centrifuging and removing medium from cells as being encompassed by steps B) and C). By centrifuging the cells, one would remove solids including cells from the medium and by removing the medium from the cells, e.g., pouring off the medium from a cell pellet in a centrifuge tube, one would purify the L-amino acid, which is in the cells, from

Application/Control Number: 09/466,935 Page 4

Art Unit: 1656

the medium. As noted in the prior Office action, while it is acknowledged the reference of Kobayashi et al. does not expressly teach the recovery of an L-amino acid, *e.g.*, L-threonine, from the culture medium, this is an inherent step of isolating the cell of Kobayashi et al., which is undisputed by applicant. Because the host cell necessarily produces and contains intracellularly-produced L-threonine, by isolating the host cell from the medium, it follows that one is "purifying said L-amino acid from the medium" in accordance with the claimed method. While applicant argues the step of "purifying" is defined in the specification at p. 23, lines 2-7, this disclosure, *i.e.*, "... and purifying the target amino acid by ion exchange, concentration and crystalline fraction methods and the like," would appear to be non-limiting and interpreting the term "purifying" as being limited to "ion exchange, concentration and crystalline fraction methods" would appear to be improper in view of MPEP 2111.01.II, which states, "it is important not to import into a claim limitations that are not part of the claim."

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

In the interest of compact prosecution, the following rejection is applied in view of an alternative interpretation of amended claim 77. Claim(s) 77-84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al. (*J Biochem* 98:1007-1016, 1985) in view of Georgiou et al. (US Patent 5,508,192) as evidenced by Zakataeva et al. (*FEBS Lett* 452:228-232, cited in the IDS filed on 3/31/2000) and Kruse et al. (*Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 59:205-210).

1050

Art Unit: 1656

The claims are drawn to a method for producing an L-amino acid by: cultivating a bacterium transformed with a DNA that encodes SEQ ID NO:4; removing solids from the medium; and purifying the L-amino acid from the medium from the second active step.

The reference of Kobayashi et al. teaches an *E. coli* host cell transformed with vector pAB104, which comprises a segment of the *E. coli* chromosome, comprising the region between and including genes *pldA* and *pldB* (p. 1012, Figure 4 and p. 1014, Figure 6). Kobayashi et al. teaches culturing this cell to middle exponential phase in LB broth, and isolating the cell from the medium. See p. 1009, left column, bottom.

At the time of the invention, a well-known method of determining the growth phase of *E. coli* was to measure the optical density of a small aliquot, *e.g.*, 1 mL, of a cell culture medium at approximately 600 nm. For example, the reference of Georgiou et al. teaches that middle exponential phase of *E. coli* is reached at an optical density at 600 nm between 0.3-0.4 (column 18, lines 50-53).

The reference of Zakataeva et al. is cited as an evidentiary reference in accordance with MPEP 2131.01 as showing that a characteristic not disclosed in the reference of Kobayashi et al. is inherent. Zakataeva et al. teaches that the *E. coli rhtB* and *rhtC* genes (corresponding to SEQ ID NO:1 and 3, respectively) fall between the *pldA* and *pldB* genes in the genome of *E. coli* (p. 229, Figure 1).

Kruse et al. is cited as an evidentiary reference in accordance with MPEP 2131.01 as showing that a characteristic not disclosed in the reference of Kobayashi et al. is inherent. It is noted that, according to MPEP 2124, a reference can be cited "to

Art Unit: 1656

show a universal fact need not be available as prior art before applicant's filing date," which facts "include the characteristics and properties of a material or a scientific truism." Kruse et al. is cited as showing that *E. coli* is an L-threonine producing strain, *i.e., E. coli* is not an L-threonine auxotroph, and that an *E. coli* isolated from a medium comprises intracellularly-produced L-threonine. See particularly p. 205, abstract and p. 207, Figure 2.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Kobayashi et al. and Georgiou et al. to culture the host cell of Kobayashi et al., remove an aliquot of the culture for measurement of optical density at 600 nm, centrifuge the cells and prepare a cell extract of the harvested cells. One would have been motivated to modify the method of Kobayashi et al. to remove an aliquot of the culture for optical density measurement in order to determine when the cells reached mid-exponential growth phase. One would have a reasonable expectation of success to culture the host cell of Kobayashi et al., remove an aliquot of the culture for measurement of optical density at 600 nm, centrifuge the cells and prepare a cell extract of the harvested cells because of the results of Kobayashi et al. and Georgiou et al. Therefore, claims 77-84, drawn to a method of producing an L-amino acid, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

As noted above, MPEP 2111.01.I states, "[d]uring examination, the claims must be interpreted as broadly as their terms reasonably allow." In this case, the step of "removing solids including cells from the medium" has been broadly and reasonably interpreted as removing an aliquot of culture medium, which would encompass solids

Application/Control Number: 09/466,935 Page 7

Art Unit: 1656

including cells and the method step of "purifying..." has been interpreted as encompassing harvesting cells and preparing a cell-free extract, which would necessarily result in purifying the intracellularly produced L-amino acid from cellular debris.

#### Conclusion

[9] Status of the claims:

Claims 77-84 are pending.

Claims 77-84 are rejected.

No claim is in condition for allowance.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Steadman whose telephone number is 571-272-0942. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Kerr Bragdon can be reached at 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1656

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David J. Steadman, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1656

Page 8